Minimal Equational Theories for Quantum Circuits

– Non-Proceedings Submission –

Alexandre Clément[∗], Noé Delorme[‡], Simon Perdrix[‡]

[∗] Universit´e Paris-Saclay, ENS Paris-Saclay, Inria, CNRS, LMF, 91190, Gif-sur-Yvette, France [‡] Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria, LORIA, F-54000, Nancy, France

Full paper available at [arXiv:2311.07476.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.07476)

Abstract. We introduce the first minimal and complete equational theory for quantum circuits. Hence, we show that any true equation on quantum circuits can be derived from simple rules, all of them being standard except a novel but intuitive one which states that a multi-control 2π rotation is nothing but the identity. Our work improves on the recent complete equational theories for quantum circuits, by getting rid of several rules including a fairly impractical one. One of our main contributions is to prove the minimality of the equational theory, i.e. none of the rules can be derived from the other ones. More generally, we demonstrate that any complete equational theory on quantum circuits (when all gates are unitary) requires rules acting on an unbounded number of qubits. Finally, we also simplify the complete equational theories for quantum circuits with ancillary qubits and/or qubit discarding.

Since its introduction in the 1980s, the quantum circuit model has become ubiquitous in quantum computing, and a cornerstone of quantum software. Transforming quantum circuits is a central task in the development of the quantum computer, for circuit optimisation (such as minimizing the number of gates, T-gates, and CNot gates, as well as reducing circuit depth), for ensuring compatibility with hardware constraints, and for enabling fault-tolerant computations [\[15,](#page-3-0)[19,](#page-3-1)[20,](#page-3-2)[21](#page-3-3)[,22\]](#page-3-4). Such transformations can be achieved through the use of an equational theory, i.e. roughly speaking, a set of rules which allow one to replace a piece of circuit with an equivalent one. An equational theory is complete when any true equation can be derived. In other words, if two circuits represent the same unitary transformation, completeness ensures that they can be transformed into each other using only the rules of the equational theory.

From a foundational perspective, a complete equational theory can be regarded as a set of axioms or principles that govern the behaviour of quantum circuits. Therefore, it is important to establish concise and meaningful rules that accurately capture the properties of quantum circuits.

Several equational theories have been demonstrated to be complete for specific, non-universal fragments of quantum circuits, like Clifford circuits [\[23\]](#page-3-5), 1- and 2-qubit Clifford+T circuits [\[4\]](#page-3-6), 3qubit Clifford+CS [\[5\]](#page-3-7), CNOT-dihedral circuits [\[1\]](#page-2-0). Recently, a first complete equational theory for arbitrary quantum circuits was introduced [\[9\]](#page-3-8). Derived from a complete equational theory for photonic circuits [\[8\]](#page-3-9) through an elaborate completion procedure, this original complete equational theory for quantum circuits contained a few cumbersome rules, some of them have been shown to be derivable in [\[7\]](#page-3-10). There remained however a family of equations acting on an unbounded number of qubits, and involving a dozen parameters with non trivial relations among them (see Equation (E_{3D}) (E_{3D}) (E_{3D})), leaving open the question of whether such a family of intricate equations is necessary.

$$
\frac{R_X(\gamma_1)}{P(\gamma_2)|R_X(\gamma_3)} = \frac{R_X(\gamma_4)}{P(\delta_1)|P(\delta_2)|R_X(\delta_3)} - \frac{R_X(\delta_4)}{P(\delta_5)|R_X(\delta_6)|P(\delta_7)}
$$
(E_{3D})

We introduce a concise and meaningful equational theory for quantum circuits, presented in its simplest form in Figure [1,](#page-1-0) where quantum circuits are considered up to global phases.^{[1](#page-0-1)} The main novelty, in terms of rules, is that the intricate rule (E_{3D}) (E_{3D}) is replaced by the following equation:

$$
\frac{\frac{1}{\left| \frac{1}{\left| \frac{1}{\
$$

When considering quantum circuits with global phases, the equational theory has two additional very simple equations that govern the behaviour of the global phases.

Fig. 1: Minimal and complete equational theory for quantum circuits up to global phases.

Semantically, [\(I\)](#page-0-2) is trivial: a 2π Z-rotation is nothing but the identity, hence its controlled version is also the identity whatever the number of control qubits is. Syntactically, the multi-control gates are defined inductively and thus are shortcut notations for large circuits containing only basic generators \overline{H} , $\overline{P(\varphi)}$ and $\overline{\overline{A}}$.

Each equation of Figure [1](#page-1-0) has a simple and meaningful interpretation: $(H²)$ $(H²)$ means that H is self inverse; (P_0) (P_0) that a rotation of angle 0 is the identity; [\(C\)](#page-1-3) that CNot is self inverse (when $\varphi = 0$), and also that $P(\varphi)$ and CNot commute on the control qubit; [\(B\)](#page-1-4) essentially that composing 3 CNots is a swap; [\(CZ\)](#page-1-5) that a Control-Z can be implemented in two ways using either one or two CNots; (E_H) (E_H) is the Euler decomposition of H; and finally (E) relates two possible Euler decompositions into Z- and X-rotations.

Our main result is to prove that the equational theory we introduce in Figure [1](#page-1-0) is complete and minimal. While completeness ensures that any valid equation involving quantum circuits can be derived from these rules, minimality guarantees that none of the equations in Figure [1](#page-1-0) can be derived from the other equations.

Theorem 1. The equational theory presented in Figure [1](#page-1-0) is complete and minimal.

In particular, for any $n_0 \geq 2$, the instance of [\(I\)](#page-1-8) with n_0 control qubits cannot be derived from the other instances of [\(I\)](#page-1-8) together with the other rules of Figure [1.](#page-1-0) Beyond the minimality of this particular equational theory, one of our main contributions is to show that there is no complete equational theories acting on a bounded number of qubits for vanilla^{[2](#page-1-9)} quantum circuits.

Theorem 2. There is no complete equational theory for vanilla quantum circuits made of equations acting on a bounded number of qubits. More precisely any complete equational theory for n-qubit vanilla quantum circuits has at least one rule acting on n qubits.

Minimality does not imply uniqueness. Depending on the context, it might be relevant to consider alternative equational theories. For instance, we show that one can replace the Equations (E_H) and (E) by

$$
-P(\varphi_1)\bigg|P(\varphi_2)\bigg| = -P(\varphi_1+\varphi_2)\bigg|\tag{P+}
$$

$$
-R_X(\alpha_1')-H-R_X(\alpha_3')- = -P(\beta_1')-R_X(\beta_2')-P(\beta_3')
$$
 (E')

leading to an equational theory which is also complete and minimal. Equation (E') – introduced for the first time, up to our knowledge, in the context of the ZX -calculus $[12,27]$ $[12,27]$ – is an alternative formulation of the Euler decomposition with only two parameters on the left-hand side of the equation.

We provide in this paper the first *minimal* equational theory for quantum circuits. Indeed, the question of the minimality is still open for the complete equational theories equipping non-universal fragments of quantum circuits, like Clifford [\[23\]](#page-3-5), 2-qubit Clifford+T [\[4\]](#page-3-6), and 3-qubit Clifford+CS [\[5\]](#page-3-7). More broadly, this is one of the first minimality results for a graphical language for quantum computing. Indeed, whereas the first completeness results for universal graphical quantum languages have been obtained through the ZX-calculus [\[16,](#page-3-12)[17,](#page-3-13)[13](#page-3-14)[,18\]](#page-3-15), and despite a great effort and significant progresses in the recent years, only *nearly minimal*^{[3](#page-1-11)} equational theories have been introduced for the

 2 By vanilla quantum circuits we mean that all gates are unitary, in particular there is no qubit initialisations, ancillary qubits or discarding.

³ Here *nearly minimal* means that a majority of the rules, but not all, have been proved to be underivable form the other ones.

ZX-calculus [\[2,](#page-2-1)[3](#page-3-16)[,24](#page-3-17)[,27\]](#page-4-0) and its variants like the ZH-calculus [\[25,](#page-3-18)[26\]](#page-4-1). In all these cases, the minimality of the provided complete equational theories is still open. Only the PBS-calculus is equipped with complete and minimal equational theories [\[10,](#page-3-19)[11\]](#page-3-20), notice however that the PBS-calculus focuses on coherent control and can only represent some specific oracle-based evolutions called superpositions of linear maps. In other words, the PBS-calculus can be seen as a construction to provide coherent control capabilities to arbitrary (graphical) quantum language.

Beyond vanilla quantum circuits, one can define equational theories for quantum circuits with ancilla and/or qubit discarding (or trace out). Various constructions exist to transport a complete equational theory for vanilla quantum circuits to these settings $[14,6]$ $[14,6]$. In [\[7\]](#page-3-10), it has been shown that the intricate Equation (E_{3D}) (E_{3D}) can be derived from its 2-qubit case in the presence of ancillary qubits and/or discarding. We show that Equation (E_{3D}) (E_{3D}) is not necessary at all. Indeed we derive from the equational theory of Figure [1](#page-1-0) simple complete equational theories, acting on a bounded number of qubits (namely at most 3), for quantum circuits with ancillary qubits (see Figure [2\)](#page-2-2) and/or discarding (see Figure [3\)](#page-2-3).

Fig. 2: Complete equational theory for quantum circuits with ancillae up to global phases.

Fig. 3: Complete equational theory for quantum circuits with discard.

References

- 1. Matthew Amy, Jianxin Chen, and Neil J. Ross. A finite presentation of CNOT-dihedral operators. Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science, 266:84-97, February 2018. URL: [https://doi.org/10.4204%2Feptcs.](https://doi.org/10.4204%2Feptcs.266.5) [266.5](https://doi.org/10.4204%2Feptcs.266.5), [doi:10.4204/eptcs.266.5](https://doi.org/10.4204/eptcs.266.5).
- 2. Miriam Backens, Simon Perdrix, and Quanlong Wang. A simplified stabilizer ZX-calculus. In Ross Duncan and Chris Heunen, editors, Proceedings 13th International Conference on Quantum Physics and Logic, QPL 2016, Glasgow, Scotland, 6-10 June 2016, volume 236 of EPTCS, pages 1-20, 2016. [doi:10.4204/EPTCS.236.1](https://doi.org/10.4204/EPTCS.236.1).
- 3. Miriam Backens, Simon Perdrix, and Quanlong Wang. Towards a minimal stabilizer ZX-calculus. Log. Methods Comput. Sci., 16(4), 2020. [doi:10.23638/LMCS-16\(4:19\)2020](https://doi.org/10.23638/LMCS-16(4:19)2020).
- 4. Xiaoning Bian and Peter Selinger. Generators and relations for 2-qubit Clifford+T operators. In Stefano Gogioso and Matty Hoban, editors, Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Quantum Physics and Logic, QPL 2022, volume 394 of EPTCS, pages 13–28, 2023. [doi:10.4204/eptcs.394.2](https://doi.org/10.4204/eptcs.394.2).
- 5. Xiaoning Bian and Peter Selinger. Generators and relations for 3-qubit Clifford+CS operators. In Shane Mansfield, Benoît Valiron, and Vladimir Zamdzhiev, editors, Proceedings of the Twentieth International Conference on Quantum Physics and Logic, QPL 2023, volume 384 of EPTCS, pages 114-126, 2023. [doi:10.4204/EPTCS.384.7](https://doi.org/10.4204/EPTCS.384.7).
- 6. Titouan Carette, Emmanuel Jeandel, Simon Perdrix, and Renaud Vilmart. Completeness of graphical languages for mixed state quantum mechanics. ACM Transactions on Quantum Computing, 2(4), December 2021. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1145/3464693) [10.1145/3464693](https://doi.org/10.1145/3464693).
- 7. Alexandre Clément, Noé Delorme, Simon Perdrix, and Renaud Vilmart. Quantum circuit completeness: Extensions and simplifications. In 32nd EACSL Annual Conference on Computer Science Logic (CSL 2024), Naples, Italy, February 2024. URL: <https://hal.science/hal-04016498>, [arXiv:2303.03117](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.03117), [doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.CSL.2024.](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.CSL.2024.20) [20](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.CSL.2024.20).
- 8. Alexandre Clément, Nicolas Heurtel, Shane Mansfield, Simon Perdrix, and Benoît Valiron. LO_v-calculus: A graphical language for linear optical quantum circuits. In Stefan Szeider, Robert Ganian, and Alexandra Silva, editors, 47th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2022), volume 241 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), pages 35:1–35:16, Dagstuhl, Germany, August 2022. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik. URL: <https://hal.science/hal-03926660>, [doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.MFCS.2022.35](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.MFCS.2022.35).
- 9. Alexandre Clément, Nicolas Heurtel, Shane Mansfield, Simon Perdrix, and Benoît Valiron. A complete equational theory for quantum circuits. In 2023 38th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS), pages 1–13, Boston, United States, June 2023. IEEE. URL: <https://hal.science/hal-03926757>, [arXiv:2206.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.10577) [10577](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.10577), [doi:10.1109/LICS56636.2023.10175801](https://doi.org/10.1109/LICS56636.2023.10175801).
- 10. Alexandre Clément and Simon Perdrix. PBS-calculus: A graphical language for coherent control of quantum computations. In Javier Esparza and Daniel Kráľ, editors, 45th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2020), volume 170 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), pages 24:1–24:14, Prague, Czech Republic, August 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02929291>, [doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.MFCS.2020.24](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.MFCS.2020.24).
- 11. Alexandre Clément and Simon Perdrix. Resource optimisation of coherently controlled quantum computations with the PBS-calculus. In Stefan Szeider, Robert Ganian, and Alexandra Silva, editors, 47th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2022), volume 241 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), pages 36:1–36:15, Dagstuhl, Germany, August 2022. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik. URL: <https://hal.science/hal-03926639>, [arXiv:2202.05260](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.05260), [doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.MFCS.2022.36](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.MFCS.2022.36).
- 12. Bob Coecke and Quanlong Wang. ZX-rules for 2-qubit Clifford+T quantum circuits. In International Conference on Reversible Computation, pages 144–161. Springer, 2018.
- 13. Amar Hadzihasanovic, Kang Feng Ng, and Quanlong Wang. Two complete axiomatisations of pure-state qubit quantum computing. In Anuj Dawar and Erich Grädel, editors, Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, pages 502–511. ACM, 2018. [doi:10.1145/3209108.3209128](https://doi.org/10.1145/3209108.3209128).
- 14. Mathieu Huot and Sam Staton. Universal properties in quantum theory. In Peter Selinger and Giulio Chiribella, editors, Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Quantum Physics and Logic, Halifax, Canada, 3-7th June 2018, volume 287 of Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science, pages 213–223, 2019. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.4204/EPTCS.287.12) [10.4204/EPTCS.287.12](https://doi.org/10.4204/EPTCS.287.12).
- 15. Toshinari Itoko, Rudy Raymond, Takashi Imamichi, and Atsushi Matsuo. Optimization of quantum circuit mapping using gate transformation and commutation. Integration, 70:43–50, 2020.
- 16. Emmanuel Jeandel, Simon Perdrix, and Renaud Vilmart. A complete axiomatisation of the ZX-calculus for Clifford+T quantum mechanics. In Anuj Dawar and Erich Grädel, editors, Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, LICS 2018, Oxford, UK, July 09-12, 2018, pages 559–568. ACM, 2018. [doi:10.1145/3209108.3209131](https://doi.org/10.1145/3209108.3209131).
- 17. Emmanuel Jeandel, Simon Perdrix, and Renaud Vilmart. Diagrammatic reasoning beyond Clifford+T quantum mechanics. In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, pages 569– 578, 2018.
- 18. Emmanuel Jeandel, Simon Perdrix, and Renaud Vilmart. Completeness of the ZX-Calculus. Logical Methods in Computer Science, Volume 16, Issue 2, June 2020. URL: <https://lmcs.episciences.org/6532>, [doi:10.23638/](https://doi.org/10.23638/LMCS-16(2:11)2020) [LMCS-16\(2:11\)2020](https://doi.org/10.23638/LMCS-16(2:11)2020).
- 19. Dmitri Maslov, Gerhard W Dueck, D Michael Miller, and Camille Negrevergne. Quantum circuit simplification and level compaction. IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems, 27(3):436–444, 2008.
- 20. Dmitri Maslov, Christina Young, D Michael Miller, and Gerhard W Dueck. Quantum circuit simplification using templates. In Design, Automation and Test in Europe, pages 1208–1213. IEEE, 2005.
- 21. D Michael Miller, Dmitri Maslov, and Gerhard W Dueck. A transformation based algorithm for reversible logic synthesis. In Proceedings of the 40th annual Design Automation Conference, pages 318–323, 2003.
- 22. Yunseong Nam, Neil J Ross, Yuan Su, Andrew M Childs, and Dmitri Maslov. Automated optimization of large quantum circuits with continuous parameters. npj $Quantum Information$, 4(1):1–12, 2018.
- 23. Peter Selinger. Generators and relations for n-qubit Clifford operators. Log. Methods Comput. Sci., 11(2), 2015. [doi:10.2168/LMCS-11\(2:10\)2015](https://doi.org/10.2168/LMCS-11(2:10)2015).
- 24. Borun Shi. Towards minimality of Clifford+T ZX-calculus. Master's thesis University of Oxford, 2018.
- 25. John van de Wetering and Sal Wolffs. Completeness of the phase-free ZH-calculus. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.07545, 2019.
- 26. Thomas van Ouwerkerk, Aleks Kissinger, and Freek Wiedijk. Investigating the minimality of the ZH-calculus. 2019.
- 27. Renaud Vilmart. A near-minimal axiomatisation of ZX-calculus for pure qubit quantum mechanics. In 2019 34th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS), pages 1–10, 2019. [doi:10.1109/LICS.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1109/LICS.2019.8785765) [8785765](https://doi.org/10.1109/LICS.2019.8785765).